


Heritage and Destiny



£2.00 / \$3.00 Issue 20

Stand Men of the West - Today is the day we fight

April-June 2005

America the big Lie

For most Americans, their country is "God's Country." It can do no wrong. The all-powerful, mighty USA. The bringer of peace, liberty and freedom. Rid the world of dictators at all cost. Peace through war, liberty through terror, and freedom through torture.

It was less than six years ago when being a "patriot" was borderline criminal. I remember having to search for American paraphernalia everywhere. For years, I had wanted a patriotic Christmas tree, but it took us throughout Northern California to find its decoration.

Then Bush happened, 9-11 happened, and suddenly, being a patriot became fashionable. American people had a new scapegoat. The Arabs. American flags popped out like spring flow-

ers. If you didn't fly one, suddenly you were considered un-American. Before all that, California even had lawsuits, trying to prohibit the American flag in homeowners' associations.

Not since WWII were the American people this excited. A new dictator had emerged, and the American people believed it was their duty to free the people of Iraq from their 'dictator.'

Talk to any American on a street corner, and he believes that the USA is the role model for the rest of the world. Coca Cola, Burgers, French fries and ball games made a civilized people of them.

Never in my life have I seen so many 'experts'. No matter what subject, Americans think their assessment is the right one. Thousands of books have been written about Germany and Hitler by American 'experts.' None of them has ever met the person or the people they wrote about. America has an opinion about everything.

Not that this is bad, but when one thinks that his/her opinion should be the norm of the world, then there is a problem.

Superficial is the right word to describe the American mind. Only the military power that America possesses makes her powerful.

Sure, the USA is paradise for the immigrants of the Third World. Even the smallest of apartments and smallest of cars is paradise for them, compared to where they came from.

For civilized immigrants from Europe, America becomes a nightmare. And if the immigrant so happens to be White, a rough journey is just beginning.

Just like me, quickly the European immigrant finds out that the glamorous propaganda of Hollywood and big city life is just that. The

American Dream doesn't exist - it's a propaganda lie! Especially the enormous power that certain groups have in this country has made it a 'dictatorship incognito.' From the U.S. the traditional enemy, represented by powerful individuals such as new World Bank chief Paul Wolfowitz, has reached out to all of the Western world and brought it multi-culturalism, immigration, and the 'holocaust religion.' All courtesy of the U.S., where Zionism rules.

All the current problems in Europe have emanated from the U.S.. Multi-Culturalism is bad in Europe. But it is worst in the U.S.


Let's take a closer look at day-to-day living in 'the land of the free and the home of the brave':

Healthcare: Today, millions of Americans have no health insurance. Millions of Americans can't afford to pay for their prescription



His Master's Voice - Prime Minister Blair listens obediently to President George Bush during a joint press conference at Hillsborough Castle, Northern Ireland

Continued on page 3



Editor: Mark Cotterill; Assistant Editors: Martin Kerr and Peter Rushmore
Webmaster: Carl Clifford; Sales Manager: Anne Wright
UK address: P.O. Box 331, Blackburn, BB1 2WU, Great Britain.
U.S. address: P. O. Box 812, Ashburn, Virginia 20146-0812, USA.
Tel: (07833) 677484; Fax: (01254) 673935. Website: www.efp.org.uk
ISSN 1741-8941; Electronic Mail: heritageanddestiny@yahoo.com

Opinions expressed in articles are the authors' own, and should not be taken to represent the editorial viewpoint of *Heritage and Destiny*.



Editorial

Welcome to Issue 20 of *Heritage and Destiny*. We are pleased to be back on time with this issue, which should reach you (well at least UK subscribers) before the May 5th UK General Election. We will of course cover the election results in detail in our next issue.

The BNP are again expecting to poll very well in this election, in which they are fielding around 120 candidates. Their main problem is going to be convincing 'right-wing(ish)' voters to vote for them instead of the UKIP and Robert Kilroy Silk's new party Veritas, who are also fighting the election on anti-Asylum seeker, anti-Europe platforms. In fact reading the three parties' policy statements on asylum/immigration, Veritas sounds the most radical!

Recently the BNP have been leafletting their target areas with a new 'anti-immigration' leaflet. One was sent in to our office and it made interesting reading. This is what the new BNP has to say about non-white immigrants; "Hard-working, law-abiding members of ethnic minorities can stay but must obey our laws and adopt to our British values."

We would not usually comment on the content of BNP leaflets but this one is so blatantly bad it cannot be ignored as are the misguided BNP activists who are out pounding the streets putting this nonsense through the letter boxes of already confused White voters. This is not an ambiguous message - the BNP is now pushing for racial integration!

Thankfully the BNP is now not the only show in town. The formation of the Nationalist Alliance (see page 10 for details on joining the NA) in March means that there is now a radical racial nationalist alternative to the BNP's increasingly multi-racial, Toryish policies.

Race and racial identity is now well and truly back on Britain's political agenda. This should be the wake-up call we have waited so long for. However, running away from controversial 'racial' issues like the BNP are doing is not the way forward.

Our people once again are being forced to think in terms of race and nationality, the flood tide of refugees, asylum-seekers and legal and illegal immigrants is overwhelming all our major towns and cities. The long established non-white communities are restless, the second and third generation Asians and Afro-Caribbeans are pushing for political control of the districts and towns they dominate; White people are now almost a minority in the capital city - where it's claimed 300 languages and dialects are now spoken.

Unless we - the White peoples of the British Isles act - and act very soon, we will be a racial minority in our own homeland by the year 2050 - that's less than fifty years time.

Only a radical alternative can create the kind of political and social force necessary to stop this disaster and restore racial and national pride in our folk. With that achieved we can halt the Third World flood into our country and begin the hard - but necessary - task of repatriating (resettlement) of non-Whites - both legal and illegal - who are currently residing in our country. This may sound a harsh and radical solution, but the survival of our folk and nation is at stake and an extreme situation demands extreme solutions.

Our Movement must be built on the strength of ordinary men and women, the struggle on the streets is fought and won by the courage and determination of young working class people - who will become radical racial-nationalists - if they are not already. The struggle for the hearts and minds of the nation will be fought by racial-nationalists.

The BNP may have ditched racial-nationalist policies to become so much like the UKIP and Veritas that it is hard to tell them apart now, but *Heritage and Destiny* and now the Nationalist Alliance will not go down that road. We are taking a different route, which starts with the building of a racial-nationalist alternative to everything that the system and old

gang parties use and manipulate. Our struggle must be a total struggle, where everything we use and operate belongs to us and we share nothing with the political system we seek to replace.


We seek to create an alternative society, a folkish state built on the principles of Blood and Soil; Race and Nation; and Folk and Family. However, in order to bring about a national renaissance our Movement must either match the strength of our enemies or force a way through the obstacles they create.

There can be no victory without struggle, no triumph without sacrifice, our Movement does not look towards an easy short term objective, our plans are long term and our sights are fixed on a distant horizon, but ultimate and total victory is our objective.

The ultimate and total victory is a White country again - we will settle for nothing less.

As always please send us your letters, press cuttings, photos, artwork and most importantly your quality articles and reviews (book, movie, CD and DVD reviews are most welcome). We also welcome any comments, suggestions or criticisms you might have.

Finally we still need your regular donations - however large or small, every Dollar, Pound or even Euro counts. Please try and send in whatever you can afford. Thanks once again for your support.



Robert Kilroy-Silk speaking at the first Veritas meeting in the former BNP heartland of the North West

As we grimly predicted, the German puppet government arrested alleged "Thought Criminal" Ernst Zundel as soon as his plane landed in territory under their control. He is being held at the following address, where we encourage his supporters, and indeed all supporters of Free Speech, to write to him and express your encouragement:

**Ernst Zundel
Justizvollzugsanstalt Mannheim
Herzogenriedstr. 111
D- 68169 Mannheim
Germany**

drugs. The question of universal healthcare is taboo. Never mind that since 1870, Germany was the leader in healthcare. Von Bismarck was the first. Since then, many civilized nations have adopted universal healthcare - not the U.S.

But the healthcare crisis only affects the middle class. Middle-class people have too much money to qualify for a subsidy, and too little to afford expensive healthcare. If you are an African-American or from the Third World, do not worry - you are taken care of.

Public Safety: The 'White flight' has begun. Most U.S. inner cities have been abandoned by the White middle class and are now ruled by African-American or Hispanic gangs. While police have the manpower to arrest innocent Ernst Zundel, they claim they have not enough personnel to get a handle on inner-city crimes. Drive-by shootings, murder, and felonies of all kind are rampant. Little pockets of ethnic populations have emerged, and they are all at war with each other. Abandoned cement blocks tell of a failed infrastructure. Today, law enforcement has given up on certain parts of the inner cities, because all the efforts are concentrated on America's new war, the war on terrorism.

If your home gets burglarized or your car gets stolen, don't bother to call the police. Open-air street drug markets are completely ignored by law enforcement, unless run by Middle-Easterners. Racial profiling has become a klutz on the foot of law enforcement. The U.S. government, which has declared war on terrorism, cannot curb its own terrorism or provide safety for its own people.

Education: A once-thriving and productive educational system has become one of the worst in the civilized world. Standards have been lowered so dumb and dumber can graduate! Billions of dollars are wasted paying an army of incompetent educators to educate those who can't be educated. Statistics tell us that most high school graduates do not know how to read or write. But, forced holocaust education is the law. Never mind that the kids wouldn't know where Auschwitz is located.

Environment: It's probably the worst I have ever seen. To be a reasonable environmentalist is to be declared nuts in the U.S. While American borders are unprotected, the immigrants have created a traffic chaos never seen anywhere else. Millions of Third World immigrants pour their car oil and coolant down the storm drain. Major cities have 'spare-the-air' days. More and more, wildlife is disappearing, becoming prey of the constant development. The abuse of the environment in the United States of America is a long-ignored danger.

Economy: Now here you have a propaganda campaign, telling all Americans that it is great, wonderful, go spend more money. But reality - it is nothing but a giant Zeppelin that is going to blow any day. Huge companies have moved their headquarters to underdeveloped nations, producing for a nickel and selling it for a dollar.

Small businesses are squeezed out of the market and the middle class has almost disappeared. A huge population of welfare recipients is whaling its body through the artificial economy, representing the buying power of the U.S. Credit is the magic word. Multi-culturalism has forced creditors to give credit even to those who don't even qualify. Home loans, car loans, and I don't know what else.

The Hollywood magic makes everyone believe that one day he'll strike it rich. In the Black community, most pre-teen boys and girls believe that they will become a ball player, a rap singer, or an actor. Trust me, today's White kids are not much different. From the Midwest, they come to California by the thousands, hoping their dream will be fulfilled. They don't realize that the glamour shown and the dream are all a lie.

Politics: What can I tell you? One of the most superficial issues in the U.S. A free people that choose freely their elected officials. The truth is, America doesn't have free elections. Money decides elections. Over the years, political districts have grown so large that it is not possible for Mr. Smith to go to Washington. Even small offices, like council and school board are impossible to win, because the districts have become so big.

According to the last election, President George W. Bush spent more than \$100 million to get re-elected. US Senators and Representatives are not far behind. Now you guess who are the people with the money! And once you have figured that out, you know who is running this country.

Religion: It's always been a touchy subject in the USA. The puritans in


their self-righteous mind have messed it up. Thousands of Christian groups are competing for the pool of new clients. Denominations exist that I haven't even heard of. The churches look like warehouses and people hysterically shake their whole body, believing that the crooked bible-beater is going to heal them. Most Christian groups support Israel, and believe that the Jews are the Chosen People.

Religion and God is yet another dictatorship which doesn't fit their mold. On the surface, American Christians are compassionate and devoted. Beneath their puritan mind is the destruction of White culture in the U.S. The concept of separation of state and church is completely twisted. Hitler's policy that the church should not be involved in politics is considered 'evil' today.

George W. Bush's conversations with God in the White House, on the other hand, are a blessing. Go figure! Most Americans pray to an evil God and don't mind letting it show. My God is a friendly God, a social one, a festive one, and a supportive one. Live and let live, a foreign concept to American Christians.

Did I cover all? Did I miss anything? If so, let me know! So, now you know why I don't believe American 'historians and experts.' Writing history from reading books - what a concept!

Walter Mueller, San Francisco, California



The real face of "American" patriotism - prominent neo-conservative Paul Wolfowitz called the shots in the Gulf War and is set to take over the World Bank

Obituary: Dr. Samuel Francis April 29, 1947 - February 15, 2005

On January 31, an old mate and comrade - Sam Francis - was rushed to the hospital and remained in critical condition for seventeen days. He had two heart surgeries that took seven hours; one was for an aneurysm in his aorta ... few people survive such a malady. Late on the evening of February 15, his blood pressure suddenly dropped and Sam slipped away quickly.

Among his many affiliations and commitments, Sam was a national board member of the Council of Conservative Citizens (CofCC) and President of its Washington DC area chapter. He also proudly held memberships in both the Sons of the American Revolution and Sons of Confederate Veterans.

A Tennessee native, Sam staunchly defended the South and its cultural heritage. However he saw and understood the bigger picture, which sadly many Southerners don't. Sam was a big supporter and contributor to *American Renaissance* and spoke at every one of its conferences.

Sam knew the battle for racial survival was not just a national one, but an international fight, that included the entire White world. Not many American Movement 'leaders' understood this, but Sam did.

Samuel Francis was born in Chattanooga, Tennessee, on April 29, 1947. He was educated at The Johns Hopkins University (B.A., 1969) and the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, from which he received a Ph.D. in modern history in 1979. His doctoral thesis was on the 17th century English politician Lord Clarendon.

From 1977 to 1981, he was a policy analyst at The Heritage Foundation, specializing in foreign affairs, terrorism, intelligence, and internal security issues. From 1981 to 1986, he was legislative assistant for national security affairs to Senator John P. East (R-NC) and worked closely with the Senate Judiciary Committee's Subcommittee on Security and Terrorism.

Sam spent a year as a postgraduate studying in the most English of cities - Oxford, where he got a taste for English beer, fish and chips and a variety of other Anglo-Saxon delicacies!



Sam Francis (far right) with H&D editor Mark Cotterill (2nd left) and friends at a Council of Conservative Citizens Christmas Social, Falls Church, Virginia, December 2001

He joined the editorial staff of *The Washington Times* in 1986 as an editorial writer. He served as Deputy Editorial Page Editor of *The Washington Times* from 1987 to 1991, and as a staff columnist through September, 1995. Sam Francis received the Distinguished Writing Award for Editorial Writing of the American Society of

Newspaper Editors in both 1989 and 1990, and was a finalist for the National Journalism Award (Walker Stone Prize) for Editorial Writing of the Scripps Howard Foundation in 1989 and 1990.

His twice-weekly column was nationally syndicated through Creators Syndicate. His very last column, which was published on January 27, was as controversial as ever: "The president... confirmed once and for all that the neoconservatism to which he has delivered his administration and the country is fundamentally indistinguishable from liberalism."

A prolific writer on issues of public policy, Sam wrote articles and reviews in numerous newspapers and magazines, including *The New York Times*, *U.S.A. Today*, *National Review*, *The Occidental Quarterly*, (where he served as Associate Editor), and *Chronicles*, for which he was a Contributing Editor.

He was the author of *Power and History: The Political Thought of James Burnham* (1984), *Beautiful Losers: Essays on the Failure of American Conservatism* (1993), and a number of other books and monographs. He was also the editor of the forthcoming *Race and the American Future* (Washington Summit Publishers, 2005).

Those of us who knew Sam personally as well as professionally remember him as an impressive intellectual and an effective grass roots leader; however he also possessed a wonderful and wicked sense of humour, which I got to know during our many evenings out at various Outback Steak Houses throughout northern Virginia.

I was honoured when Sam agreed to address the first ever AFBNP meeting back in early 1999. He was also a regular attendee at most of our other meetings and social events throughout the late 1990s. Sam was a guest many times at my apartment in Falls Church and we often stayed up until the wee hours talking politics, snacking on chips and dip and drinking awful American beer!

"He was a fine writer and a brilliant scholar, who had the courage of his convictions... He had a tremendous depth of knowledge and read as deeply as anyone I've known" said Patrick Buchanan, who was a close friend of Sam's.


Sam will be greatly missed by racial nationalists everywhere; living testament to his memory must be our continued commitment to his values and vision. Sam would expect us to dig in deeper on the front lines once he was called away ... sadly, that time has come.

His funeral was held in his native Chattanooga, Tennessee on February 25 and was attended by many comrades from the north, and west - as well as many 'good olde Southern boys'.

Mark Cotterill, Blackburn, Lancashire

Now available to *Heritage and Destiny* readers, DVD highlights of the 2004 New Orleans EURO conference, including John Tyndall's speech and David Duke's keynote address

Willis Carto




David Duke &



Paul Fromm

Don Black



Sam Dickson

Kevin Strom



Dr. Ed Fields

Edgar Steele



John Tyndall

Germar Rudolf



I hope every reader of *Heritage and Destiny* will see the recently released DVD of the International European-American Unity and Leadership Conference – held in New Orleans, Louisiana, in May 2004. It was the most important and exciting Nationalist conference I have ever attended. It welcomed home David Duke, the most politically incorrect, yet charismatic figure in American politics, and it brought together for the first time the most important groups fighting for our heritage and freedoms. Courageous and eloquent leaders from America, Canada and Britain came together with a new sense of unity and purpose.

In addition to David Duke, perhaps the most straight speaking political figure in America, we had Kevin Strom of the National Alliance, Don Black – creator of Stormfront, the largest Nationalist website in the world, anti-immigration and free-speech leader Paul Fromm, former BNP chairman and editor of *Spearhead* magazine John Tyndall, Historian and publisher Germar Rudolf, Attorney-activists Sam Dickson and Edgar Steele, columnist Bob Whittaker, Dr. Edward Fields, editor of *The Truth At Last*, and many others.

The three DVD's have 400 minutes of incredible talks on the critical issues facing America such as the illegal alien invasion, the disastrous Zionist influence upon the American media and government, and the catastrophe America faces in this Israeli-orchestrated Iraq War. You can have the full color, exciting DVDs of this dynamic event and you will get hours of truth and inspiration. It makes a great gift for you and your family and perfect for those who you want to awaken! – James Kelso


Vol 1 – Highlights of the opening address by David Duke plus cameos of speakers and highlights of speeches of Sam Dickson, Dr. Edward Fields and Kevin Strom. Also an excellent Q & A with Duke and Strom.

Vol 2 – Highlights of John Tyndall, Bob Whitaker, Germar Rudolf, Paul Fromm, and David Duke's keynote address.

Vol 3 – Highlights of Don Black, Willis Carto, Roy Armstrong, Edgar Steele, David Pringle, Howie Farrell, Kenny Knight, James Edwards, Michael Polignano, panel with Duke, Strom, Dickson, Fromm and Black. Closing speech by David Duke.

US and Canadian readers can order either DVDs or VHS Videos (\$19.95 each, or all three for only \$50.00 – post paid) of the conference direct from **The David Duke Report**, PO Box 188, Mandeville, LA 70470. Checks/Money orders should be made payable to *Duke Report*. You can also order by credit card and/or online at – www.davidduke.com

UK (and other non-American) readers can order DVD's ONLY (£12.00 each or all three for only £30.00 – postage free) from *Heritage and Destiny*, PO Box 331, Blackburn, BB1 2WU. Cheques/Postal Orders should be made payable to 'Heritage and Destiny'. Sorry no credit card orders.



EURO conference 2005

The 2005 International European-American Unity and Leadership Conference will again be held in New Orleans, Louisiana over the weekend of Friday May 20th - Sunday May 22. This is the weekend BEFORE Memorial Day. Those H&D readers wishing to attend should call the Euro office (985) 626-7714 or check out their website - www.davidduke.com - for full details.

George Lincoln Rockwell and the American Nazi Party - Part II

On March 15, 1941, Lincoln Rockwell enlisted in the U.S. Navy as an aviator cadet. Up to that time he had been a philosophy major at Brown University in Rhode Island. In his autobiography, *This Time the World*, Rockwell briefly discusses his decision:

It is typical of my political naivete of the time that when the propaganda about Hitler began to be pushed on us in large and larger doses, I swallowed it all, unable to even suspect that somebody might have an interest in all this, and that it might not be the interest of the United States or our people . . . I grew to hate this "vicious monster," Hitler, as much as anybody in the Country. It became obvious that we would have to get into the war to stop this "horrible ogre," who planned to conquer America, so we were told, and so I believed." (TTTW, pp. 71-72)

According to U.S. Department of the Navy personnel records, on December 23, 1941, George Lincoln Rockwell, serial number 106684, was commissioned as an ensign after completing flight training. Rockwell had wanted to become a fighter pilot, but the Navy had other plans for him. Perhaps his size was a factor in this: at 6'4", he was considered too big to fit comfortably in the cockpit of most U.S. fighters. Rockwell saw action in both the Atlantic and Pacific theaters. He flew combat missions at the battle of Guadalcanal and later, taking off from an aircraft carrier, participated in attacks on Japanese positions in the Philippines. In 1944, records show that he took part

in anti-submarine warfare off the coast of Alaska. He also flew similar missions in the Atlantic against Axis submarines.

For the most part, however, he served in a support capacity, training new pilots and flying photo reconnaissance missions. Towards the end of the War he was stationed in Brazil, where he was the officer-in-charge of a support air control team. By the time the War was over, he had been promoted to lieutenant commander. He was demobilized, and in September he returned to civilian life, but retained his commission as a member of the U.S. Naval Reserve.

Rockwell had flourished in the Navy. Clearly, he was cut out for the rough and dangerous life of a naval pilot in wartime. He later wrote:

. . . my soul rejoiced in the pride and strength of the military. Civilian life seemed soft, weak and feminine, and I got a deep satisfaction in my growing ability to stand up under

the discipline and punishment. When we stood at parade and watched the flag go by to the military band and the drums, for the first time in my life I experienced the goose-pimple emotions for which there is no other name than "glory!" How unspeakably proud I was to be an American and a sailor! How I scorned the featherbed life I had just left! (TTTW, p. 77)

Yet it was to the "featherbed life" he was returning. It was not just that the War was over: in April, 1943, while on leave, he married his first wife, Judith Aultman. He now had a family to support.



George Lincoln Rockwell, with his Icelandic wife Judith and their four children



Rockwell outside the famous ANP headquarters at 'Hatemongers Hill' in Arlington, Virginia

Martin Kerr, Falls Church, Virginia

Editor's note: This is the eighth part of a series of articles on the history of the racial nationalist movement in America.

Due to the breaking news of criminal charges against BNP founder John Tyndall and current party chairman Nick Griffin (see page 7) part of this month's Rockwell article has been held over and will be continued in the next issue of *Heritage and Destiny*.

Books wanted

If any reader has surplus copies of Derek Holland's booklets *The Political Soldier* (I and II) that they wish to sell, please contact the Editor at either heritageanddestiny@yahoo.com or P.O. Box 331, Blackburn, Lancashire, BB1 2WU.

BNP trio charged with Race Act offences

On April 7th three prominent British nationalists appeared at Leeds Magistrates Court, having been charged the previous day with offences against Britain's notorious race laws.

John Tyndall, founder and former chairman of the British National Party, faces two charges of "using threatening, abusive or insulting words or behaviour" with either the intention or likelihood that "racial hatred would be stirred up". Nick Griffin, current BNP chairman, faces four similar charges, while Mark Collett, former chairman of the BNP's youth wing, faces eight similar charges.

All of the charges stem from secret filming for the BBC television programme *The Secret Agent*, based on the work of *Searchlight* undercover operative Andy Sykes, who worked with Griffin and Collett as organiser of the BNP's Bradford branch. (See the *H&D Movement News Update* in Issue 17.) In addition to the broadcast programme, the BBC supplied the police with more than 300 hours of secret recordings.

Nick Griffin will of course be BNP parliamentary candidate for Keighley at the General Election on May 5th, while Mark Collett, who resigned as youth chairman after his humiliation on another television 'expose' in October 2002, will be BNP parliamentary candidate for Leeds Central.

For legal reasons we have to reserve some of our comments until these cases are concluded, but readers may be wondering just exactly what qualifies as "thought crime" in our supposedly free society.

In Mr Tyndall's case the BBC concentrated on two sections of a speech at a Burnley BNP meeting in March 2004. Replying to recent insults from Conservative leader Michael Howard, who had denounced the BNP and its Burnley councillors as "thugs in suits", the programme showed Mr Tyndall saying:

This interloper, this immigrant or son of immigrants, who has no roots at all in Britain, has the effrontery to talk to us about what is alien. It is not the BNP that is alien.

Readers will know that Mr Howard was born Michael Hecht in 1941 to a family of Romanian Jewish immigrants. His grandfather Maurice Hecht first arrived as an illegal immigrant during the 1930s, and his father Bernat Hecht changed the family name to Howard in 1947.

Mr Tyndall is the first person to face criminal charges for referring to Mr Howard's family background, but several others have sought political capital from these facts. In the very week that Mr Tyndall was charged, a biography of Michael Howard appeared by the former BBC journalist Michael Crick. (*In Search of Michael Howard*, published by Simon &

Schuster at £20.) This book reveals that the Hecht family were illegal economic migrants rather than asylum seekers fleeing "nazi persecution".

It also revisits a series of anti-Howard stories which first appeared in a pamphlet entitled *Twenty things you ought to know about Michael Howard MP*, secretly funded by the controversial millionaire Mohammed al-Fayed in February 1990. The most serious allegations related to Howard's relative Harry Landy and his £30 million fraud against the Israel British Bank.

None of the people involved in this long running "smear campaign" against Michael Howard - who include Mr Al Fayed and former BBC royal correspondent Michael Cole - have ever faced charges.

More recently - in fact this very year - Mr Howard's ethnic origins have been ruthlessly exploited by Tony Blair's Labour Party in its desperate bid to shore up crumbling Muslim support for Labour.

Two controversial poster designs appeared on the Labour Party's official website, one depicting Mr Howard as the archetypal Jewish criminal Fagin, and the other showing two pigs with the faces of Mr Howard and his fellow Jewish Conservative Oliver Letwin, Shadow Chancellor of the Exchequer. Peter Hain, a member of Tony Blair's Cabinet, then weighed in by describing Mr Howard as a "mongrel".

None of these indiscretions led to criminal investigation, let alone charges. One dreads to think what would have happened had Mr Tyndall or Mr Griffin referred to Mr Howard in these terms, or if *Spearhead* had exploited "anti-semitic" stereotypes on its cover!

Perhaps the biggest problem for any of the three defendants is that Nick Griffin was filmed by the BBC launching a tirade against British Muslims (fully justified in this author's opinion) but crucially adding: *You have got to stand up and do something for the British National Party because*

otherwise they will do for someone in your family, that is the truth.

...I will get seven years if I said that outside.. [sic]

One of Mr Collett's alleged crimes involved describing asylum seekers as "cockroaches". While he is being charged and faces a maximum seven year prison sentence, no one has so far been charged in connection with the threats which appeared on the website www.soasians.com a few hours after the *Secret Agent* broadcast. One contributor to the website wrote: "every asian person should kick the livin S**t out of them racist Ba****ds."

On September 9th 2004 the left-wing comedian Jeremy Hardy said on his BBC Radio 4 show *Jeremy Hardy Speaks to the Nation* that BNP voters should be "shot in the back of the head". Mr Hardy, whom I last saw at Bow Street Magistrates Court supporting the Irish terrorist bomber Roisin McAliskey, has not faced any criminal charges.

Double standards and political repression are a fact of life for British and European racial nationalists. The Tyndall, Griffin and Collett cases are likely to proceed to crown court trial some time this summer or autumn and will be covered in later issues of *Heritage and Destiny*.

Peter Rushmore, Manchester, England




Nick Griffin outside Halifax Police Station after his arrest - he has since been charged with four "racial hatred" offences alongside John Tyndall and Mark Collett



Labour's controversial 'anti-semitic' poster depicting Michael Howard and Oliver Letwin in non-kosher mode

Leeds Demonstration - Thursday May 19th

A protest picket organised by the Nationalist Alliance will be held outside Leeds Magistrates court on May 19th. This is specifically in support of John Tyndall who has to appear that day on two trumped up and utterly ridiculous Race Act charges. We will also support others accused under the tyrannous and obnoxious Race Laws. For more information call 07708 861198



Movie Review: *The Alamo* (2004)


Released April 2004, Touchstone Pictures, Running time 137 minutes. Rated PG-13. Now available on DVD

The roads cross at San Antonio de Bexar at a small, ruined mission called The Alamo - a place where myth meets history and legend meets reality. In the spring of 1836 nearly 100 Texans - alongside volunteers from Tennessee, Kentucky and other Southern States, who believed in the future of an independent State of Texas - held the fort for thirteen days under siege by General Antonio Lopez de Santa Anna, ruler of Mexico and commander of its forces. Led by three men - the young, brash Colonel William Travis; the violent, passionate James Bowie; and the larger-than-life living legend Davy Crockett - the deeds of the Texans and their comrades at the Alamo would pass into history, as would General Sam Houston's rallying cry for Texas independence - "Remember the Alamo". Their actions would become legendary for their symbolic significance and two full length movies were made to tell the tale.


The first movie - *The Alamo* - was made in the year I was born 1960. It starred John Wayne as Davy Crockett, Richard Widmark as Jim Bowie and Laurence Harvey as William Travis. I'm sure almost every H&D reader on both sides of the pond has watched this movie at least once, but probably many more times, as I have. It was a fantastic movie, perhaps one of the best to come out of the 1960's and perhaps one of the last to be politically incorrect!

It's quite ironic that Touchstone should have remade the 1960's classic - which is basically the story of White men defending Texas from Mexican invaders - almost half a century later. Ironic because Texas is now almost a majority non-White State, mainly due to massive Mexican immigration over the last forty years and the high birth rates of the Mexicans now living in Texas.

It is sad but true, that Crockett, Bowie,



The defenders of the Alamo mount their last stand



(2004) as it's called you may well ask? I must admit I was expecting a much more politically correct movie. I had read all the pre-movie propaganda about the defenders of the Alamo being a very diverse bunch, including Black, Hispanic and even Red Indian volunteers fighting side by side with their Euro-American comrades! However this is not the case with *The Alamo* (2004), it basically sticks to the politically incorrect - but true - 1960 version of the movie.

In the remake, as in the original, all the defenders of the Alamo, apart from a handful of Negro slaves and a few local anti-Santa Anna Mexicans are Euro-Americans i.e. White. The vast majority of the men who died at the Alamo, were of either English or Ulster-Scots (Scots-Irish) descent - perhaps as high as 90%. The other 10% being made up of other European nationalities.


The Alamo (2004) may be slightly more factually correct than the 1960 original,

but it's awfully sober. Billy Bob Thornton is the real hero of the movie - and I'm not just talking about the character he plays, the legendary Ulster-Scots frontiersman Davy Crockett.

Thornton lends gravity, focus and humor that are otherwise in very short supply in this serious-minded but meandering, talky and action-deficient epic. It could and should have been made so much better.

Though it isn't quite the disaster suggested by some reports, it's far from the rousing populist spectacle promised by Disney's spin doctors, who place the budget at under \$100 million (some published accounts have estimated it as high as \$107 million). However sadly it just does not come close to the 1960 original.

Thornton, at least, gives good value as Crockett - OK, not as good as John Wayne, but he's good - playing a solo fiddle in response to a Mexican army band performing hours before the band of Euro-Americans defending the Alamo was slaughtered (Whether this is factually correct I don't know, but it reminded me of a classic scene from the movie *Zulu*. If you remember just before the Zulus made their final attack on the Brits defending Rorke's Drift they began chanting at them. The officer in charge - Lieutenant Chard - commanded



Sam Houston, commander-in-chief of the Texan Army at the time of the Alamo, later President of the Republic of Texas, and Governor after Texas joined the U.S.A.

Travis and all the heroes of the Alamo would be turning in their graves if they could see what Texas has become now. Thanks to successive American Presidents - from JFK to George W. much of Texas no longer belongs to Euro-Americans, English is no longer the main language and what General Antonio Lopez de Santa Anna failed to do - bring back Texas into Mexico - they have done.

Well what of the new version of the Alamo movie - *The Alamo*

the mainly Welsh soldiers to sing back at them and they burst into song - *Men of Harlech* - if my memory serves me right). The showboating by removing a general's epaulette with a rifle shot, or patiently explaining to a stranger that it wasn't him, it was an actor, in a popular play about the former Tennessee congressman's exploits. And when Thornton's off-screen, the film's pulse drops precipitously.

There is endless bickering between a very dull William Travis (Patrick Wilson of HBO's *Angels in America*), an untested young lieutenant colonel temporarily placed in command of the Alamo, and an uncharismatic Colonel James Bowie (Jason Patric), a celebrated and ill-tempered Indian fighter dying of consumption, as they and fewer than 200 other men await their doom, vastly outnumbered by a Mexican army of thousands surrounding the church-turned-fortification.

The fourth major character, the politically ambitious drunkard General Sam Houston - played by Dennis Quaid in clenched-teeth mode - disappears for most of the movie's middle hour, as the movie suggests Houston cagily withheld reinforcements from the Alamo so he could turn its men into martyrs as a rallying cry for Texas independence.

After Crockett and the others are finally slaughtered, in a battle that takes place during the night - as opposed to the daytime battle in the 1960 original - Houston reappears for a drastically truncated coda - the movie was reportedly cut from over three hours to 2 hours and 17 minutes - in which he outwits and forces the surrender of Mexican General Santa Anna (Emilio Echevarria) at the eighteen-minute battle of San Jacinto, which here seems to last something like eighteen seconds.

It's hard to say whether *The Alamo* (2004) is so



The ruins of the Alamo today

unexciting because the inexperienced director, John Lee Hancock (*The Rookie*), was hobbled by a studio-imposed PG-13 rating, which make the brief battle scenes especially bloodless and unconvincing, or weighed down by the Texas native's own ambition to make a sober, definitive, historically accurate version of the oft-filmed story.

From a historic point of view, it may well be an improvement over the John Wayne 1960 version which he directed and starred in as Crockett.

But as so-called 'pop entertainment', Hancock's 2004 version doesn't have anything like the oomph of the earlier movie. It certainly doesn't have compelling characters, except perhaps for Thornton and Jordi Molla, too briefly seen as the heroic Tejano captain,



Mexican General Santa Ana and his officers besieging the Alamo

Juan Seguin.

When a movie like *The Alamo* (2004) fails on this level, it's easy to point accusing fingers. It's probably a little unfair to blame it all on Disney honcho Michael Eisner (none of whose ancestors fought at the Alamo!), who shocked the Hollywood elite by saying 'No' to the planned original director, Ron Howard - coming off the vastly overpraised *A Beautiful Mind* - when Howard asked for a \$125 million budget, a huge piece of the back end, and an R rating. (*The Missing*, the western Howard directed in place of *The Alamo* (2004) was nothing to write home about either.)

Howard's version of *The Alamo* was going to star Russell Crowe as Sam Houston, which suggests that massive rewriting took place, but the final film - the script was laboured on by many hands, including Hancock and an uncredited John Sayles - contains huge chunks of historical exposition but no clear centre or dramatic thrust.

Unfortunately, despite Thornton's yeoman efforts and a remarkable fifty two acre set, if you go and watch this movie you're more apt to forget "The Alamo" than to remember it!

Reviewed by Mark Cotterill, Blackburn, Lancashire



Davy Crockett (John Wayne) discusses tactics with Jim Bowie (Richard Widmark) in the original 1960 Alamo movie, in this reviewer's eyes a much better account



Book Review: *Against Democracy and Equality - the European New Right* by Tomislav Sunic

Published by Noontide Press, Newport Beach, CA, 2004, ISBN 0-939482-63-0. Softback, 241pp. Check www.noontidepress.com or available from Noontide Press, P.O. Box 2719, Newport Beach, CA, 92659, USA. \$13.95 + p&p

We hold these Truths to be self-evident, that all Men are created equal" so wrote Thomas Jefferson in the Declaration of Independence.

If you have always regarded the myth of equality as self-evidently untrue, then Tomislav Sunic shows that you are in good company. The European New Right which Dr Sunic examines here sees the "American Way" as an equivalent enemy alongside Marxism - varieties of the same pernicious egalitarian weed.

Note that the European New Right is the polar opposite of neo-conservatism, the doctrine that now dominates the Anglo-Saxon political elites. At the climax of the cold war the two were perceived to be in an uneasy alliance against Soviet Communism. The Reagan and Thatcher administrations typified this alliance. During the 1980s Richard Perle and Pat Buchanan were fellow Cold Warriors, while Thatcher's Young Conservatives included such unlikely allies as John Bercow and Adrian Davies.

Twenty years on we see a very different alignment. The like of Perle and Bercow are now virtually indistinguishable from Tony Blair's New Labour, where the fervent disarmers of yesteryear now enthusiastically deploy B-2 bombers and Cruise missiles in the name of an assumed universal ideology of democracy and human rights. Meanwhile Buchanan and Davies are utterly alienated from their former political homes in the Republican and Conservative parties.

For the leading New Right philosopher Alain de Benoist, writing here in the late 1970s, such developments would not seem odd:

Personally, I am totally indifferent to the issues of being or

not being on the right. At the moment, the ideas that the New Right espouses are on the right, but they are not necessarily of the right. I can easily imagine situations where these ideas could be on the left. The extent to which these ideas can change will solely depend on how the political landscape will have evolved.

The New Right sees the entire political establishment of our times as the product of a universalist egalitarian heritage, influenced by ideological currents that have often seemed to be in conflict. Judaism, Christianity, the Enlightenment, liberalism, democracy, socialism, communism, neo-conservatism: all of these have contributed to the undermining of the values that the New Right believes essential to a healthy society.



While its enemies, in their various forms, have elevated individual rights and helped to create the 21st century cult of individual consumerism, the New Right emphasises the importance of organic community. While conservatives, socialists and liberals all acknowledge the primacy of economics, the New Right sees this as putting the cart before the horse and insists on the primacy of culture and society over economics.

Recent New Right theorists such as Michael Walker have coined the term "liberal totalitarianism", which though seeming oxymoronic in fact neatly encapsulates the dominant ideology of our times. Until very recently the liberal notions of democracy, consumerism, individual rights etc. came in "soft" packages - in other words they were enforced by apparently benign mechanisms of control, notably the mass media.

Liberals (and their neo-conservative twins) could therefore smugly insist on the contrast between themselves and those they labelled "totalitarians" - i.e. National Socialists and Soviet Communists. It is worth noting that almost all liberals reserved their real bile for National Socialists, partly because Communism (at least in theory) shared the liberal emphasis on economic determinism, equality, and the emancipation of the individual, even though for Marxists the final stage of this emancipation was to be delayed for the indefinite duration of the "dictatorship of the proletariat"!

After liberal totalitarianism was seriously challenged in its own backyard on 9/11 the soft packaging began to be unwrapped. Democracy and human rights now demand the suspension of habeas corpus, multiple waves of bombings and missile strikes, detention without trial, concentration camps, torture of suspects: in short, all of the supposedly despicable methods that liberals once taught us were the hallmarks of Stalin and Hitler.

The brutal response of Bush and Blair to anyone who dares to challenge their hegemony would have come as no surprise to Carl Schmitt, one of the earliest New Right thinkers discussed by Dr Sunic. The liberals' assumption that their values have universal validity leads to a corresponding assumption that any serious opposition to those values must be absolutely wicked. Islamists in the Middle East and BNP supporters in Lancashire must be demonised



Tomislav Sunic lectures at the NPD's Summer University in 1999 with former Marxist Horst Mahler (right)


and crushed. As Schmitt wrote during his own post-war captivity in the mid-1940s:

Hostility becomes so absolute that even the most ancient sacral differentiation between the enemy and the criminal disappears in the paroxysm of self-righteousness. To doubt one's own justice appears as treason; to show interest in the opponent's arguments is viewed as treacherousness, and the attempt to start discussion is considered as agreement with the enemy.

Dr Sunic's book throws up two paradoxes, for ourselves as racial nationalists and for our liberal, socialist and neo-conservative opponents. The first paradox stems from the post-9/11 situation. Liberals are committed to a multiracial one-world order, which has led to the presence at the heart of their own societies of large Muslim communities who reject that order! Meanwhile racial nationalists reject the presence of aliens in our midst, but we have no wish to impose universal values, in fact we reject the very notion of universal values. In other words, we would wish to remove Muslim residents from the streets of Blackburn, but we would not be despatching advisers on women's liberation to educate tribal chiefs in remote Afghan and Iraqi villages.

The racial nationalist approach to international relations is based on a frank acknowledgment that stronger nations and races will impose their will on the weaker, not in the name of universal ideologies, but purely and simply in the pursuit of their racial, communal and national interests. Vilfredo Pareto, another of the New Right academics analysed by Dr Sunic, put it like this in 1926:

Each people that is horrified by blood to the point of not knowing how to defend itself, sooner or later will become a prey of some bellicose people.



Leading New Right intellectual Alain de Benoist, founder of the cultural-political organisation GRECE

There is probably not a single foot of land on earth that has not been conquered by the sword, or where people occupying this land have not maintained themselves by force. If Negroes were stronger than Europeans, it would be Negroes dividing Europe and not Europeans Africa. The alleged "right" which the peoples have arrogated themselves with the titles "civilized", to conquer other peoples whom they got accustomed to calling "non-civilized", is absolutely ridiculous. Rather, this right is nothing but force. As long as Europeans remain stronger than

Chinese, they will impose upon them their will, but if Chinese become stronger than Europeans, these roles will be reversed...

The second paradox involves the liberal insistence on the primacy of economics, formalised in Marxist theory as the notion of base and superstructure. According to such theories, all our cultural, social and racial norms are economically determined, just as the unequal development of originally equal individuals must be economically determined.

Yet paradoxically the 20th century Left has achieved its most permanent and obvious revolutionary successes in the social sphere. Liberals, socialists and neo-conservatives alike rejoice in having apparently consigned sexism, racism and anti-semitism to the dustbin of history, where they are soon to be joined by new crimes such as "heterosexism".

In this respect almost the entire intellectual establishment has moved as one. Alain de Benoist mocks this sheep-like quality:

Between 1940-44, no social category rallied to the cause of the occupier more massively than did the intelligentsia. And in this sense, nothing has changed. Intellectuals remain again seduced by the dominant ideology.

He continued, in a 1979 interview that seems even more relevant a quarter-century later: *It is always easy to avoid the debate. It suffices to disqualify the adversary. This saves time in having to refute him. One judges the chess-box (the place from which someone talks) rather than the pawn (the discourse itself). One at-*

tacks the persons rather than what they write.

Thus the establishment attempts to exclude the BNP from debate, and in a grotesque parody of racial nationalism's worst enemies the BNP leadership attempts to demonise its internal critics.

The revival of our cause needs more than victories in council by-elections. Indeed the primary importance of electoral success lies in the signal that it sends to the marginalised and oppressed White communities of Britain.

If we are to challenge the hegemony of the Left - of Bush and Blair, of Wolfowitz and Straw - the battle must extend across the intellectual and academic landscape, as well as the streets of Halifax and Burnley. Dr Sunic has done us a great service in this continuing struggle by helping to rehabilitate the great New Right philosophers of the 20th century. Many of the more recent paths taken by De Benoist and others on the European New Right will no doubt cause controversy among *Heritage and Destiny* readers, especially the continuing rejection of the Judaeo-Christian legacy and the adaptation of what would once have been thought "left-wing" positions. Yet the continuing intellectual vitality of the New Right is an encouraging contrast to the sterility of the Bush-Blair axis.

Reviewed by Peter Rushmore, Manchester, England



Veteran dissident Alexander Zinoviev, who was expelled from the Soviet Union in 1978 but returned to Russia in 1999, warning that "now we live in a world dominated by a single force, by a single ideology and by a single globalist party. The Western countries are dominant but they are also dominated, because they are progressively losing their sovereignty to what I call 'supra-society'."

Time to get back to the Streets?

Back to the streets after six years of diluted Griffinism (I wonder if that word will find its way into the Oxford English Dictionary as a description of someone who dilutes their principles for personal advancement?), is about the most unpopular theme on which I could write an article. However I hope to advance a reasoned argument on this subject.

I read a review of John Bean's book *Many Shades of Black* (sorry but I am not buying this book after reading the review) and his attack on the NF's street level approach to politics. Marches and demos attracted violence says Bean and put off respectable members. Oh those "respectable" members so dear to us all!

This is rubbish. Bean is misreading the street politics approach to justify his own retreat from the basic principles of White Nationalism. However, this article is not an attack on John Bean per se. His comments and his book do represent the current thinking of our leadership in the National Movement. Gone are marches, demos, counter-demos, and anything else interesting and exciting. All the talk now is of suits not boots. Well I own a suit (just the one!) and a pair of Doc Martens and I think there is room in British Nationalism for both. In fact, not just room they are both parts of a whole.

If we relied on a purely Boots image then we end up with a tough street-fighting organisation which unfortunately would lack political direction and purpose.

If we have a purely Suit image, as we have now, then we have stacks of eggheads and intellectuals making policy but no way to implement that policy.

At the moment a room for a meeting must be booked under a false name and one (sometimes more) rendezvous points established to stop the hard left finding out about the meeting. If they do they will either pressure the landlord or brewery to cancel the meeting, or more likely use it as an excuse to turn out and try and physically smash our meeting, in the process driving off the respectable members and leaving the Suit brigade with a baying red mob situation they

have never had to handle.

Speaking as someone who has come up through the last few decades in the rough and tumble of street politics, I have never seen a member or supporter respectable or otherwise put off by our defending our meetings. Indeed, when the much maligned Boot Brigade have driven off the Red attack, the respectable folk either have applauded the action or in some cases got stuck in themselves. They can then sit back and enjoy a good meeting, knowing they are protected as are any women or children at the meeting. Safety and security is assured.

The situation where we have to continually book meetings under false names and cower in terror that the Marxist gutter mob will wreck it cannot go on!

On marches (now abandoned); marches produced the following positive effects: -

1; They generate fantastic amounts of free publicity, before during and after the event.

2; They show power on the streets. Nothing gives members a greater morale boost than the feeling they are a part of a great and active movement. I have had few prouder moments than marching in the 1978 Re-


membrance Day march, when we swept the motley band of reds aside and marched to the Cenotaph. NF units from all over the country were present. The drums struck up at the front, and thousands of NF members, young and old, men and women marched in a solid and terrific show of force. The march was a sea of National flags and symbols and everyone was charged up for the months ahead.

3; Even when severe violence occurred, as at Lewisham and in Leeds, this did not put off people from the NF. Yes the ZOG controlled media had a field day and accused the NF of inciting the violence, which was a huge lie. But I can say hand on heart, and I was then Regional Organiser for the Yorkshire NF, we did not lose one member, respectable or otherwise. The youngsters who came back from Lewisham to Yorkshire, bloodied and tired, were still filled with a fighting enthusiasm and many pledged they would not only march back through Lewisham if asked to do so, but were filled with a new determination never to be driven from our own streets by a howling, alien mob whipped on by ZOG.

And that's the main point isn't it? The streets, the meeting halls, the factories and the council estates are part of our land. They are British and once they were White. We are told confrontation is a thing of the past and that we cannot march through 'sensitive' areas.



Ian Anderson leads a National Front march in Kidderminster, c.1984, with (left) drummer Adrian Woods, (centre right) John Merritt, and (right) Norman Tompkinson



John Bean's book *Many Shades of Black* - criticised here by Eddy Morrison - was reviewed in H&D issue 5



The most infamous red violence against nationalists was at Lewisham (South London) in August 1977 during a National Front march

Public meetings too have gone, even though the Darlington and Dewsbury mass meetings of 1989 helped put the BNP firmly on the map. These two meetings are another good example of my argument for the politics of confrontation. They were violent, not from the BNP's part but from our opponents. Some of our folk were injured as were many of the opposition but we generated Worldwide publicity for less than £30 (the cost of the van hire we used as a platform), and it brought in hundreds of members. To my knowledge nobody left.

We have harsh public order laws in this country and I am not saying we should break them. We have to conform within the law at

all times and we have to abide by police directions, even when we don't agree with them. A member arrested, fined or imprisoned is a waste. However, at the same time, we are engaged in a battle for control of our country and before the masses of British people will join us, we must demonstrate to them that we control their estates and streets. we can guarantee them safety and security and we can march with flags flying high down any street or through any area in our country we choose too.

The bans will continue. We must keep applying for marches in spite of this. I would also say we must bring back the old British tradition of mass public meetings. Livingstone has banned all Nationalist meetings in Trafalgar Square whilst letting any and every ethnic, homosexual or even semi-terrorist group speak in Britain's premier meeting place. I personally challenged him two years ago on this and tried to book Trafalgar Square for a mass meeting, knowing it would generate millions of pounds of great publicity. Livingstone banned me as a racist. I lacked the funds and resources to fight him in court, which I would have if I could have.

Britain was ours and will be ours again. This will not be accomplished by groups of well-dressed individuals hiding in posh hotel rooms under false names. It will be accomplished by harnessing the hundreds of thousands of White youths, now turning to the killer drug culture of ZOG, into a street presence that will put the fear of God into our enemies. Our leaders and speakers and old people will be safe. Our papers can be sold openly on the streets. BNP members would not have to go sneaking out at 3.00am to avoid trouble when delivering election leaflets (this has happened!). We can rescue British youth from an alien drug fuelled environment and fill our ranks once again with an unstoppable tide of White youth on the march!

Eddy Morrison, Leeds, Yorkshire



We seek a paradigm shift in our values, a revolutionary worldview in our people, and a fundamental change in the form and focus of our governing bodies. The government of the United States of America, as well as all other formerly White controlled countries, seeks to deny Whites the right to White neighborhoods, White communities, White schools, White associations, and all other cultural tools necessary for the survival of our race. We recognize that so long as the news and entertainment media around the world are controlled by an alien race and their collaborators, who use their power and influence to control public opinion and the electoral process, there is no possibility for Whites to democratically regain control of our own destiny.

We will not compromise when it comes to our children's future, or the future of our race and species. We will not allow our people to be pushed towards extinction without pushing back. We seek to secure the existence of our people and a future for White children by creating the opportunity for the establishment of a government which has only the interests of our people in mind when deciding on its foreign and domestic policies.

**Visit our website at www.whiterevolution.com today, to find out more!
or write to White Revolution, P.O. Box 2024, Russellville, Arkansas, 72811, USA**

The Nationalist Alliance

The Nationalist is an independent, non-party organisation dedicated to the defence and promotion of genuine racial nationalism in Britain and opposition to any liberalising or "watering down" tendencies in the nationalist movement. Part of its function is to promote the circulation of *Spearhead* magazine.

The Nationalist Alliance regularly holds meetings to discuss topics banned from discussion in certain other nationalist quarters, and to give speaking opportunities to nationalist speakers likewise banned.

The NA does have a formal membership, with a yearly subscription of £5.00. Members are kept informed of news and activities through its monthly bulletin - *Truth*, and magazine *Imperium*.

Those interested in helping the work of the NA should write to **PO Box 36, Armley, Leeds, LS12 2YE**. The SG's website is at www.rwb.org.uk. Its free weekly online bulletin can be received every Monday by emailing nationalist.week@ntlworld.com

Western Destiny

Western Destiny is a well written Nationalist publication. For a sample copy send \$5.00/£3.00 to; **Renaissance Press, PO Box 1627, Paraparaumu Beach, New Zealand.**

Spearhead magazine

Having celebrated its fortieth anniversary last year, *Spearhead* is one of the longest established racial nationalist journals in the world. Edited by John Tyndall, this is the magazine that the British state couldn't ban!

For a sample copy, send £2.00 / \$3.00 to **PO Box 2471, Hove, East Sussex, BN3 4DT**

Also check out the *Spearhead* website at www.spearhead-uk.com

How it was done

The rise of Burnley BNP: the inside story

Written by former Burnley BNP organiser Steven Smith. Every nationalist should have a copy of this excellent book.

For your copy, send £6.00/\$10.00 (postage included) to; **Cliviger Press, 7 Westview, Cliviger, Burnley, BB10 4TG, UK.**

Paid advertisements: A similar space could be yours - call 07833 677484 for rates

Subscribe to Heritage and Destiny

To make sure of your regular copy of *Heritage and Destiny* why not become a subscriber? The following rates are for the next six issues: USA/Canada \$24.00; British Isles £18.00; Worldwide £24.00/\$35.00.

Bulk Rates

Discounts for bulk purchases are as follows: 10 copies - £15.00; 20 copies - £25.00; 30 copies - £30.00: Postage is free within the UK. For over 30 copies in the UK or US/Worldwide rates please contact our office.

Back Issues

All back issues (numbers 1-18) are also available at £2.00/\$3.00 each (post-paid).

Enamel Pin Badges now available

We now have the following high quality Patriotic enamel pin badges for sale: Blood and Honour; Odinist Black Sun; England First Party; National Front; BNP; Union Jack; Enoch Powell was right; Kilroy was right; England - Love it or leave it; Keep St. George in my heart; English and Proud; England - No Surrender; 100% English; St. George cross English Flag; England flag shield; England - No Asylum Seekers; English Red Rose; English 3 lions; Scottish flag; Scottish Lion; Welsh Dragon flag; Northern Ireland flag; Ulster Loyalist; English Bulldog; English Lion; England-Stone Island; English Crusader; English White Rose; Chrome Celtic cross; Chrome Sunwheel; Red, White & Black Celtic Cross; Red & White Celtic Cross; Black & White Celtic Cross; Black & Gold Life Rune; Red, White & Blue Odal Rune; White English Dragon; Crossed English & Union Jack flags, with 3 lions crest; Crossed Ulster & Confederate flags; Proud to be Scots-Irish-Ulster-Scots; and David Duke 'Equal rights for all'. £2.50 (\$4.00) each or any five (mixed) for £10.00 (\$16.00) (post-paid).

Patriotic Flags now available

We now have the following good quality 3x5 flags for sale at £10.00/\$15.00 each. Black & White Celtic Cross; Red, White & Black Celtic Cross; Red, White & Black Sunwheel; Life Rune; England Three Lions; Isle of Man; Jersey; Guernsey; Ulster; St. George Cross; Welsh Dragon and Confederate Battle. For overseas orders please add another £2.00 (\$3.00) for postage.

Donations

Income from sales and subscriptions of our magazine are not enough to cover the high cost of production, mailing and administrative costs. We therefore rely on regular donations from our supporters so we can keep going. Every Dollar, Pound or even Euro counts, so please try and send in whatever you can afford.

Payment Details

Checks or Money orders should be made payable to *Heritage and Destiny* and sent to either; PO Box 331, Blackburn, BB1 2WU, UK or PO Box 812, Ashburn, VA 20146-0812, USA. Please do not send cash, unless by recorded delivery.



Book Review: *Western Democracies and the New Extreme Right Challenge* - edited by Roger Eatwell and Cas Mudde

Published by Routledge, March 2004, ISBN 0-415-3671-1. Hardback, 216pp. Available from Routledge, 11 New Fetter Lane, London, EC4P 4EE (£65.00) or 29 West 35th Street, New York, NY 10001 - \$110.00 (post paid)

As we move further into the 21st Century, Racial Nationalism across Europe and North America poses an increasing challenge to the liberal-capitalist System. That challenge in turn, inevitably, evokes a response, indeed a variety of responses from the System and its often unconscious and indeed sometimes unwilling defenders. In order to make our challenge effective, we need to understand not just the response that the System and its allies have made, but also the thinking behind those responses. Which in turn helps us predict and prepare to meet their future responses to us.

That is where this book is invaluable. It is a collection of essays on our challenge, the System's responses, and the lessons that they can learn from the success or failure of those responses, drawn from a variety of times and places across Western society. The editors are two of the System's top experts on us: Roger Eatwell, Professor of European Politics at the University of Bath and Cas Mudde, Lecturer in Political Science at the University of Antwerp. It is written for, and will undoubtedly be read by, the System's key decision-makers and devisers of strategies to counter us. Which means that it ought also to be read by our key decision-makers and devisers of strategies!

Being written for the System leadership, rather than the often deluded grunts, dupes, apparatchiki and foot-soldiers they deploy against us, the book eschews propaganda in favour of sober and often thoughtful analysis. For example a careful distinction is, rightly I think, drawn between "fascism" and what they call, rather misleadingly also I would suggest, "the new Extreme Right," which the book's authors characterise as in the main populist rather than fascist or neo-Nazi (with the exception, as they note, of a few explicitly NS groups, none of any general political significance).

They correctly go on to subject the traditional "anti-fascist action" type response to us to often rather withering criticism, analysing the total ineffectiveness in countering the rise of Nationalism electorally in Britain of the time-honoured response of smears backed by thuggery. A stale reaction "typically", as they observe, "based on a left that had little if any real contact with potential BNP voters and which remains obsessed with portraying the party as 'Nazi' and its leaders as criminals". So much for *Searchlight* and AFA!

Whilst going on to explain why this kind of response, which worked against the National Front in the 1970s, does not work against groups like the BNP now. Because today's British nationalists have learned to eschew the old march and riot headline-chasing of the Seventies NF in favour of sinking local community roots and building what the late Dr W.L. Pierce called the "local nexus" of communication with the White population whose support it seeks. Community roots and communication on the doorstep and via local

campaigns and media that our enemies cannot readily replicate and counter. Mobilising Leftist mobs for street battles between what looked to Joe Public like rival bands of Orcs are one thing. Having to send the same Red cannon-fodder to argue in favour of Political Correctness on the doorstep is quite another! Interestingly and encouragingly, Eatwell and Mudde and their contributors, whilst able to analyse with some insight the nature of their problem, seem unclear about what the solution is.


This particular piece of analysis occurs in Chapter 3 - *The extreme right in Britain: the long road to 'modernisation'*, by

Eatwell himself, which will be of especial interest to British readers. On the reasons for the failure of the NF in the late 1970s (street violence rather than community politics, unnecessary associations with Nazism in both leadership and literature, and Thatcher luring away the vote) it is spot-on in this reviewer's opinion. Although its potted history of the Movement in Britain thereafter suffers from the common defect of superficial histories of being written from the viewpoint of how things turned out in the end rather than what happened at the time. So that the NF is written off as having disintegrated in 1979 whilst the BNP, founded in 1982, is from the outset the Wave of the Future. This, to put it mildly, was not how it seemed in late 1985 say. When the BNP, faced with a revived NF after the enforced departure of Martin Webster, appeared to its own leadership to have failed totally and was apparently on the brink of being disbanded,

the BNP was saved, to its complete surprise at the time, by its current leader Nick Griffin, who in 1986 wrecked the NF in a fit of lunacy, megalomania and truly abysmal political judgment. For which, and the waste of several years for the entire Movement it entailed, he deserves criticism as well as the - in effect - praise Eatwell heaps upon him for "modernising" the BNP.

In fact, as Eatwell hints, the electoral breakthroughs achieved by Nationalists in Britain could be argued to owe more to the effective adoption at local level of community-based campaigning combined with professional canvassing, door-to-door paper-selling and identification and mobilization of the local support base than to the preening and bickering of the miscellaneous Movement leaders. A new strategy drawing, although the book's authors do not seem aware of this, upon lessons learned by the National Party when it achieved electoral success in the 1970s. Lessons transmitted via ex-NP leaders through training seminars in the mid-1980s NF to the 1990s BNP. It was one of these 1980s NF training seminar alumni, Eddy Butler, who put the lessons of 1976 Blackburn and Deptford into practice in 1993 Millwall and won the BNP its first councillor. Then BNP-leader John Tyndall may or may not merit the sneers he gets from Eatwell about his "bombastic and pompous ...lack of charisma" but he undoubtedly did fall down in creating a party which was unable either to find within its ranks a candidate who could perform adequately if elected or to capitalise on the wave of support following his election.

The current BNP has clearly, as this book's authors acknowledge, remedied both faults. It also has, in Nick Griffin, a public face both articulate and telegenic - Tyndall, to be blunt, was neither. However,



it has in many eyes another serious latent issue, specific to the BNP as opposed to the old NF, and apparently unnoticed by Eatwell. An archaic, authoritarian - indeed classically Fascist - *Führerprinzip* constitution which tempts the Leader to egomania and purges of people for personal rather than political reasons whilst giving dissent no constitutional avenue but revolt and schism. Nick Griffin is, in my view with much justice, lauded by Eatwell for having modernised the BNP externally. It will be interesting to see if he is quite so keen to modernise the BNP internally...

American readers will be more interested in Chapter 8 - *Right wing extremism in the land of the free: repression and toleration in the USA*, by George Michael. Not the singer but the Associate Professor of Political Science at the not-exactly-Ivy-League University of Virginia College at Wise. This contains much eye-opening material on how the System across the Atlantic seeks to suppress us. They have been balked thus far by the First Amendment to the US Constitution, guaranteeing freedom of speech, which frustrates legislation banning the expression of Nationalist ideas. So they have been unable to use the Government-sponsored criminal legal action against our Movement so prevalent on this side of the Pond. So they use Non-Governmental Organisation-sponsored civil legal action instead. This chapter provides fascinating and useful detail on the nature and scale of these NGOs. Revealing, for example, that in Fiscal Year 2000 alone the Southern Poverty Law Center had assets worth \$147,441,903 and an annual income of \$32,520,416 whilst the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith had assets of \$17,737,259 and an income of \$48,693,379. Big business indeed. Moneys spent on spying on and harassing by (mostly!) legal means - lawsuits and so on - US Nationalist groups.

Even more usefully, the book provides valuable independent substantiation for long-standing Nationalist claims of collusion between such groups and the US authorities, contrary to the spirit if not the letter of the First Amendment. For example on page 185 the book cites an FBI memorandum as long ago as 1985 instructing each FBI field office to "contact each ADL regional office to establish a liaison and a line of communication". On page 189 is detailed the current policy of the San Diego Police Department on close co-operation with the ADL on "hate crimes". The FBI's 1960s and 70s COINTELPRO programme of infiltration of Nationalist groups and deliberate fomenting of splits and divisions within them is also detailed. Bringing matters up to date, the book lists a series of arrests of prominent US Nationalists since 9/11 "on charges which a fair reading would suggest were at least in part politically motivated" (p. 181).

This is the more valuable from a hostile rather than a Movement source. It can hardly be put down to our propensity for paranoia and conspiracy theories! Moreover - and this is a lesson many on our side might well take to heart - each statement and claim is buttressed by detailed footnotes citing evidence and original sources. It is a well-known dictum that, the more extraordinary or unbelievable a claim is, the more firmly buttressed by evidence it needs to be to secure credibility. A point many Nationalist writers would do well to remember!

Of most value to Nationalists everywhere though, not just in Britain or the US, is the book's analysis of the three main strategies adopted by the System in various times and places to meet the Nationalist challenge: repression, marginalisation and

accommodation.

The first, repression, is the road of legal bans on organisations and/or the expression of Politically Incorrect ideas. About which the book provides much useful information. For example the Spanish Ley Organica 6/2002, which was effected on 27th June 2002, banning parties which "attack the democratic regime, promote xenophobia or" - less controversially perhaps - "promote terrorist organisations".

The blueprint for such repressive laws is laid down in article 4b of the 1966 UN International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination. Which states that all signatories (including for example Britain) "shall declare illegal and prohibit organisations, and also organised and all other propaganda which promote and incite racial discrimination, and shall recognise participation in such organisations or activities as an offence punishable by law". The most unintentionally comic example of attempting to implement this must surely be Section 7A of the Basic Law of the State of Israel. This states that parties can be disqualified from standing in elections both on the grounds of "incitement to racism" and "denying the Jewish nature of the State of Israel" - which would seem to catch everyone, one way or the other! Apart from providing these

examples the book discusses at length Germany's so-called "*wehrhafte Demokratie*" - defensive democracy - with its paraphernalia of secret police, banned ideas and repression. From which emerge a picture of a state much more defensive than democratic. Indeed, if Germany had oil, the anti-democratic nature of the German state would give the US ample excuse to invade it!

The problem from its authors' point of view, as this book ponders weightily, is that this response just doesn't work very well. In practice, bans are evaded and banned organisations just change their names. If widely held ideas are forbidden, juries sympathetic to them will not convict offenders. So often repressive laws prove unenforceable. Whilst in principle what is being defended, as the book's authors reflect, comes to look less and less like democracy and more and more like the power of its rulers. As elsewhere there are occasionally signs here that Eatwell *et al* are thinking their way toward that vital distinction between a system of government and the System governing it which our rulers are so eager to blur.

They also clearly take the point that were a ban on the lawful political expression of Nationalist ideas successfully implemented, the consequence would only be to drive Nationalists outside the legal political system and toward terrorism and violence. Conversely, and very interestingly, they cite a study by Ruud Koopmans (on p211) demonstrating an inverse correlation between the level of electoral success of Nationalist parties in various countries and the level of racial violence. Koopmans concluded "in general, strong extreme right parties serve to limit the potential for extreme right and racist violence".

The other two System responses, marginalisation and accommodation, are ways of dealing with Nationalists if you do let them play the game and actually win some electoral representation. As that, what is now happening to us in Britain, has for some time been happening in Europe, and undoubtedly could happen in the USA, we need to study carefully these two possible responses to our success and what their relative effects are. This book gives several studies of the results of both System strategies across several countries.

Marginalisation is the flat refusal of the System parties to co-operate or have anything to do with Nationalist elected representatives



Infamous anti-White activist Morris Dees

on bodies they share. Nationalists are excluded from coalitions, if necessary all the System parties sinking their differences to form a broad front to out vote us. No deals are done, nor discussions held with Nationalists. The aim is to freeze them out of political life and deny their legitimacy, a opposed to legality, as political actors. An example is the treatment of the Vlaams Blok on Antwerp City Council.

Accommodation is the opposite. Nationalists are treated as "just another legitimate political party", invited to join coalitions, included in voting deals etc etc. An example is the treatment of the Austrian Freedom Party, which has been included in coalition Governments and given ministries.

Superficially, the System parties might instinctively prefer the former, to freeze out the nasty Nationalists, whilst we might all at once plump for the latter, with everyone being nice to us. The danger is that we might get that.

For, as this book reveals in fascinating detail with examples from Austria, Italy and France, accommodation is a deadly trap. Nationalist parties who let themselves be sucked into the System are torn apart internally. Pulled one way by the personal ambitions of the leadership offered juicy jobs if only their party will tone down its more "extreme" policies and the party ambitions of those members who think doing likewise will win even more votes and pulled the other by their principles and those members who wish to stay true thereunto. The result is splits of the sort that afflicted the MSI in Italy - where the former won and the party became just a conservative party - and the FN in France, where, the book suggests, the latter did and the party forfeited "respectability" and stuck by its principles. Externally, there is a danger that Nationalist parties who enter coalitions and do deals with System parties are seen by the electorate as no longer offering the radical alternative they seek, as being no better than the rest of them and thus losing their support base. Being another part of the problem rather than the solution. As happened to the Freedom Party in Austria after it entered coalition government with the conservatives, and was subsequently punished at the polls.

By contrast, this book suggests, marginalisation does Nationalist parties little harm and much good. Internally, unity is maintained in the face of the implacable hostility of everyone else. Externally, the party is seen as a real alternative to System parties who, by ganging up on it, reveal that they are all fundamentally the same, merely rival management teams for their country plc. So that, as Mudde puts it in the book's Conclusion (p195) apropos of the VB "the result is an internally united and ideologically radical party, which goes from strength to strength in various elections".

Clearly all this should give us all much food for thought. This book is very definitely worth reading. Not an endorsement Eatwell, Mudde and Co. will welcome, I am sure! Nor will they welcome another unsettling thought with which I will leave them.

Which is that the book is written from the viewpoint of those "defending democracy". But it is clear that they themselves are having some very well-founded doubts about whether they actually are defending democracies or merely those who currently govern them. And whether the "extremists" against which they are defending are really the enemies of the former or only of the latter.


In their Preface Eatwell and Mudde muse uneasily that "extremists" raise "legitimate questions about the limits of multi-culturalism, or about the capacity of some countries to take significant numbers of immigrants without adverse social and economic effects". They observe that most "extremist" parties which have achieved significant success do not actually oppose democracy *per se* as 1930s Fascists and Nazis quite openly did. Indeed, they often demand that the peoples' voice be heard on issues the System parties conspire, by agreeing to agree, to take out of the arena of democratic debate.

Whilst the democracy they are defending is, they admit, not without its flaws. "Extremists can raise legitimate questions about whether liberal democracy corresponds even to its own political norms...major political decisions often seem to be reached in smoke-filled rooms with little sense of accountability or transparency. Mainstream political parties are arguably more divorced from civil society than at any time in the post-1945 era" they lament. Eatwell goes on in his Introduction to reflect that "it is important to remember that existing democracies are in many ways flawed." Hitting this nail very near its head, he goes on "Even on its own terms, contemporary democracy has many problems - including growing power for multinational corporations, of the mass media and of national and international bureaucracies". Of what we would call the System, in fact.

Eatwell, Mudde and Co. need to reflect, as they are showing signs of starting to do, on whether they are really defending the system of democracy or only the System of liberal capitalist internationalism that currently rules it. The danger for them is that they are being used to defend the latter, to defend the rulers of the West and their ideology. Rulers who defend democracy only as a propaganda figleaf, a means to legitimise their own rule, not as an end in itself. Those rulers' aim is to marginalise alternatives to their liberal capitalist internationalist ideology, rather than to the system of government through which they currently rule. Their lack of commitment to the latter may well become evident should we succeed in awakening the public in sufficient quantities from their media-manipulated, consumerist-drugged sleep! We are a threat to our rulers' power, not to democracy. We wish to change the Government, not the system of government. Eatwell and Co are being used to defend the former, not the latter. It appears they may just be politically intelligent enough to be starting to suspect this.

For them, as for us, there is, as they conclude their Preface, arising out of this book "much to ponder..."

Reviewed by David Freeman, Birmingham, England



You've Seen "The Passion of The Christ"

Now Here's The Rest of The Story!

Who are the Christ killers? Were the Jewish and Roman trials legal? What was the role of Pontius Pilate? What physical pain did our Messiah suffer? Would the race that hates Christ do the same again today? Find out the answers to these and many more questions that the establishment churches will never tell you, by ordering three books by Dr. Wesley Swift: *Not Guilty!*, *Who Crucified Jesus Christ?*, and *The Power of His Resurrection*. All three books (over 100 pages total) are available in this special offer for only \$5.00 from:

Kingdom Identity Ministries
P.O. Box 1021, Harrison, Arkansas 72602
<http://www.kingidentity.com>

Letters From Readers



Sir – Sadly the evil politics of open immigration and unfettered capitalism even alters the football field. Take the example of Millwall – the changing racial face of South London makes it increasingly difficult to draw support from the local community. Supporters must now travel to home games. Hence, crowds are down, thus making a small support base even smaller.

This was a problem even before the membership schemes were hatched two years back. Lack of crowds means a lack of money which today means that you simply cannot compete with the wealthy. Add to this that owners who might have some real love for the team, such as the soon to be head of Millwall, Jeff Burnige, rarely have the cold cash of global capitalist investors, who may have the pockets to produce star players, but little feel for the traditions and history of the club. In the end leftism destroys a lot more than just politics.

Yours sincerely,

Ricky Curran, Bermondsey, London



Sir – Surely David Duke cannot be serious when he writes that “radical religious Jews” are the “leading lights behind egalitarianism, feminism, Freudianism and open immigration.” As everyone knows, the religious Jews are opposed to the first three above listed movements and are probably indifferent to the fourth. If there is to be a true

discussion among nationalists concerning the Jewish question we must begin by not making blatantly ludicrous assertions!

Sincerely,

Graham Marshall, Arlington, Virginia.



Sir - Build a better mousetrap and the people will beat a path to your door. As much as I admire some of the things the NA does (ADV, *National Vanguard*, NV News, active units) I would point out that the National Alliance is not an end in itself, but a means to an end. The end, of course, is the salvation, well being, and advancement of our race.

That should be our loyalty, not to institutions that come and go. I hear many racialsists lament that the National Alliance is the best thing to come along since Rockwell and that if it fails, or implodes, then they are finished for good with racialism. Let the White race die, they rationalize!

The National Alliance is good, it is the best we have had so far. But if it expires tomorrow that does not means the struggle is over and racialsists should throw in the towel. If the NA needs reform then it's incumbent on the active units to do so if the leader refuses to act. If you do not see the reform you think is needed and your voice goes unheeded then pull out and regroup. Form your own organization or join with an existing organization and make it stronger. In other words if some members are not happy with the NA, and think they can do better then by all means do so.

I do not say this with any rancor or anger. The NA may, or may not, be the group that leads us to victory. Racial activism, like life in general, is governed by the same laws that govern nature. That which is superior is that which will survive, that which is superior is also that which shall triumph. Therefore if people are unhappy with the NA, form organization "B" and give them a run for the money. Show them a better way to do things.

Peter Wingfield, Boston, Massachusetts



Sir – Once again your publication has published falsehoods about myself. You have stated that I was employed as a hairdresser. You know only too well that this is false and I can only assume your writer Mr Peter Rushmore is implying something by this description. Your caption on page 22 of Jan-March 2005 edition implies that I was responsible for missing accounts.

It is lodged both with my solicitor, the police and Electoral Commission that I refused to complete the 2003 audit on legal grounds. No responsibility for the actions of other officers was brought to my door. I have left “Nationalist” politics with a belief it cannot shake off its corrupt past. Only if it can will it be worthy of representing the British People.

John Brayshaw, Easingwold, North Yorkshire.



Peter Rushmore replies: *The scale of financial irregularity within the BNP leadership is clearly even more serious than I suspected. Sadly for Mr Brayshaw, he can be held legally responsible for financial affairs during his tenure as treasurer - despite his later resignation. His letter raises serious questions. Why did he refuse to sign the 2003 accounts? Why did his successor John Walker (and party chairman Nick Griffin) continue to lie about those accounts and the reason for their delayed completion? When will the Electoral Commission crack down on the BNP - since Mike Newland and John Brayshaw, Mr Griffin's party treasurers from 1999 to 2004, have both now denounced him as “corrupt”?*

We are of course happy to correct the mistaken impression that Mr Brayshaw was formerly a hairdresser - which I was first told by a leading Nick Griffin supporter.



Sir - Many thanks for so helpfully including the advert for my books in the Jan-March issue of *Heritage and Destiny*, which is a most interesting magazine, and which fulfills a vital function in sustaining the supremacy of racial loyalty above party politics and petty manoeuvres.

With good wishes,

Colin Jordan, Greenhow Hill, Harrogate, Yorkshire



Sir – Just received the new H&D in the mail yesterday. It's great! I've already read 90% of it. Sorry to hear about your computer fiasco. I especially liked your review of the *Football Factory* movie. I really want to see it now, that is if I can find it here in LA. The football hooligan phenomenon is interesting; although it's unfortunate in some ways, it still has its positive aspects I suppose.

If only we could channel some of the young guys' aggression towards the traitorous politicians, we'd see some changes in a hurry. I saw *The Firm* and while it was good overall - Gary Oldman's performance was amazing - the multiracial political correctness was nauseating. Anyway, great article. Educational, too, even if I didn't pick up on some of the references as a Yank. There's a great English pub down the street from me, which I go to quite often. They broadcast all the major football matches there live. No football hooligans though!

Henry Fuller's “Nationalist Myths & Realities” was one of the most insightful articles I've read in a long time. I agree with his conclusion. Re the Movement News Update: I can't help but note the parallels between the current BNP and the National Alliance, both experiencing major fallout due to corruption and hypocrisy at the leadership level. (i.e. Griffin and Gliebe). Best Regards,

Mark Robins, Los Angeles, California.



Sir – As a regular reader of your magazine, I hope that *Heritage and Destiny* continues to carry the torch of racial nationalism, as opposed to the now populist BNP. We have now come to the point where parties such as the Tories, UKIP and now Veritas are all now within an inch of the BNP's policies on immigration. Of course we all know that the BNP are completely different to the mainstream, but that is the point

- we will never be able to compete with the mainstream, as they have control of the instruments of power, namely the mass media. The only way to beat them this way would be to join them, become part of the mainstream, which would defeat the whole point of the exercise. I don't believe that the answer to this is to form a new political party, this would only further split and fracture the movement. There are enough groups and political parties to go around at this point, it's a case of finding the right one for yourself as an individual. For those readers who do not believe that the democratic system as it stands will provide a platform of success for racial nationalist politics, I recommend *Broadsword*, the magazine of the British Movement. Their address is; PO Box 6, Heckmondwike, West Yorkshire, WF12 0XF.

Sincerely Yours,
Stephen Glover, Stockport, Cheshire



Sir - Peter Rushmore seems to have some sort of antipathy towards Tony Lecomber, I wonder why? I always found Tony to be a rather amiable man, although I wish the strife that has arisen between him and John Tyndall could be ended. It only helps to weaken British Nationalism as a movement.

As for being a convicted bomber, as far as I understand, he only bombed the Trots. There's lots of folk who would say that was not so bad. I mean, Leon Trotsky, the big guru of all Trots was an evil mass murderer. Does Peter Rushmore think the modern day disciples of Trotsky would have any qualms about exterminating any British Nationalists? Those newspapers who castigate Tony Lecomber for aspiring to be a bomber at one time in his life, never adopt their usual purple lipped posture when writing of the men who tried to bomb Adolf Hitler. They all seem to regard a murder attempt on him as some positive good.

Neither do the anti-bombers ever say a word over Israel bombing Arab refugee camps, or the RAF/USAAF bombing that ignited 26 firestorms in German cities turning them into rubble during WWII. The media is now trying to whitewash Churchill over these atrocities by blaming it all on 'Bomber Harris'. Yet it was Churchill who demanded, "Set Europe Ablaze!" He certainly succeeded in that. We see then that certain types of bombing are fine with the media hypocrites. It is only 'right-wing' bombers who are bad, or so the mass media seem to suggest by their reporting.

I am Sir, a peaceful and law-abiding subject of the British Crown. May God bless H.M. The Queen.

Harry Mullin, Glasgow, Scotland

Peter Rushmore replies: I entirely agree with Mr Mullin that the media operate outrageous double standards with regard to "racial violence" and bombings - whether discussing Dresden or Coventry in the 1940s or the BNP and the IRA in the 1980s.

My point in previous articles was that Tony Lecomber is similarly hypocritical, since despite his own record of criminal violence, including a bombing, he condemned John Tyndall as an "extremist" and forced his expulsion from the BNP.

It is perfectly reasonable for Mr Mullin to point out that the target of Mr Lecomber's bomb was the Trotskyist Workers' Revolutionary Party. Although it was not directly involved in violence against racial nationalists, unlike other groups like the SWP and Red Action, the WRP did have close political and financial ties to the Libyan regime of Col Gadaffi, which also backed the IRA and murdered WPC Yvonne Fletcher.

Yet less than three years after Mr Lecomber bombed the WRP his future ally Mr Griffin visited Libya to beg for cash from that same Gadaffi regime!



Sir - Hope you don't mind me butting in briefly, to tell you that I don't believe Brayshaw resigned voluntarily. He had been under some sort of investigation from the EC, for a time shortly before his "resignation".

He was unofficially replaced as National Treasurer before his "resignation" was ever known. I personally succeeded in beating the BNP in court twice. On each occasion, they cited the "Constitution" in their evidence, but it carried no weight whatsoever in court. In fact, it was treated with contempt! I had the BNP bank account frozen in re-

claiming over £2,000 of my own money which they refused to return to me. During my dealings with them, I gained the impression that there were well under 1,000 members, and that the party was not financially viable. Brayshaw misled the party for years on the regulations regarding the £200 donations, and had no real grasp of the rules. As these matters formed the thrust of Tony Lecomber's failed defence and appeal on behalf of the BNP, I know indisputably that I am right about their ignorance. I also know that Lecomber still doesn't get it. I believe that when the Electoral Commission discovered this ignorance (probably due to my own complaints) they launched the investigation from which he never recovered.

Neither Brayshaw nor Lecomber are worth consulting on anything, and Nick Griffin is culpable for entertaining their presence as senior officials. Nothing they even put in writing can be taken seriously. They really do not know what they're doing, or what they're talking about. Lecomber in particular, is just a dreamer who believes his own publicity. I have never known anyone so universally hated by so many nationalists. It's incredible. These are just my personal opinions, gleaned from the few regrettable dealings I've had with him. Recently, Lecomber tried to get me to attend a BNP "Tribunal", based on exactly the same "evidence" that had twice been scoffed at by the court ages before.

How can one dignify such twits by even talking to them?

Yours sincerely,

Peter Shaw, West London

Peter Rushmore replies: Mr Shaw's court experience may well be repeated in the forthcoming case concerning John Tyndall's expulsion, since the BNP leadership has still not learned the lesson that the party constitution does not override the law of the land!

There have been new developments regarding Mr Brayshaw, who judging from his letter in this issue may have been more sinned against than sinning, though it is now clear beyond dispute that his "resignation" was a far more acrimonious affair than the BNP has so far pretended. As regards Mr Lecomber, I can confirm Mr Shaw's impression that he is almost universally detested among London BNP organisers, even those who are otherwise loyal to the Griffin regime.




Sir - Thanks for the latest issue of H&D, as always a great read. I really enjoyed the movie review of *Football Factory* as I'm a big soccer fan myself (I support MLS side Chicago Fire). But can someone over your side of the pond explain what Chelsea FC now has to do with England and how anyone can root for them?! St. George's path to "reclaim my empire!" (Brutal Attack way back when) will not lead through Stamford Bridge.

All the best from the New World,
Alan Simpson, Chicago, Illinois

The Editor replies: As a notorious Wolves fan I couldn't possibly comment on this question. Perhaps one of our Chelsea-supporting readers could reply? How does a racial nationalist react when their club is taken over by a "Russian" Jewish multi-billionaire? Sad to say none of our leading clubs, nor our national side, is ever again likely to be all White - at least this side of the revolution!





CD Review - *Fragment of the Future* - Prussian Blue

Produced by Resistance Records, West Virginia, USA, 13 tracks, Available for \$15.88 (plus postage) from Resistance Records, PO Box 67, Hillsboro, WV 24946, USA or online from www.Resistance.com

Before examining the musical aspects of this CD I will address a few misconceptions that are frequently leveled against it. I don't remember seeing a CD that has enraged the multi-cult the same way this one has. Even before the CD was released earlier this year various internet message boards lit up with discussions about it.

The most prominent accusation made is the girls are being used as a propaganda tool. To classify Prussian Blue's music in their first CD - *Fragment of the Future* - as propaganda one would have to twist the word propaganda to include anything. Propaganda is the deliberate use of the media to coerce or influence people's behavior. Propaganda is usually orchestrated by the establishment and usually leverages itself on strong emotions, such as fear. Two girls having fun producing their own CD does not constitute propaganda.

There is also the one about the girls being too young to understand politics and they are being forced to do everything by their mother. An average twelve-year-old should be able to fully understand basic political concepts of freedom; in particular freedom of speech, association, religion, and assembly. This isn't calculus 111. I have corresponded and spoken with their mother many times. She is not dumb, irrational, irresponsible, or a hater. I have a very hard time picturing her forcing her children to perform.

I've never been to Europe so I can't speak with any authority about the public education system there. I can, however, speak with a great deal of authority about public education here in the United States, since I was subjected to public education here not that long ago. In the U.S. children are subjected to propaganda. I'm not talking about some shady conspiracy theory or something that might be misconstrued as propaganda if you look at it from an extreme perspective. I'm talking about an open, deliberate, well planned propaganda campaign, orchestrated by the state, aimed at school children. And don't think that these people are coy about what they are doing. They are very open with their agenda and will proudly boast about the way they are influencing children.

Not long ago in New England a diversity consultant bragged to a reporter that her training program will make White children feel ashamed and intimidated. Shortly after that a private school hired her, with full knowledge of what she had said earlier. Here in my home state of Florida we have mandatory Holocaust training (I was subjected to this myself) for all children grades one through twelve. There is also a Miller early childhood development program, which is a propaganda program designed to teach toddlers the basic ideals of left-wing radicalism. Toddlers! We have the ADL to thank for that one.

In the U.S. there are many highly aggressive propaganda programs aimed at children. Children do have the ability to understand politics. The establishment wants to instill the ideals of multi-ra-

cialism, anti-nationalism, and globalism into them. It is the parent's responsibility to give them an adequate intellectual defense by teaching them the basic concepts of racial and cultural identity and explaining the suicidal fallacies behind anti-nationalism.

This makes April Gaede the smartest and most responsible parent I have ever seen. She doesn't want her girls to become drug addicts, prostitutes, or rabid feminists and she doesn't want Black, Asian or Mestizo grandchildren. She wants her daughters to become intelligent, healthy women who make good life decisions and give her grandchildren that share her family's heritage and identity.

By teaching them the concepts and ideas of nationalism her children are far more likely to make healthy decisions in life. By raising

them to be active in nationalism it is even more unlikely that they will behave in a self-destructive manner. Her daughters will already have knowledge that many people refer to as an awakening.

Fragment of the Future is primarily soft ballads with acoustic guitar and violin. When you listen to the first tracks on the CD you can tell the girls are nervous. They make a few mistakes and there's a certain uncomfortable sound in their voices. By the time they reach track 4 *Our Vinland*, they start to settle in and their performance has a smoother, more natural sound. When they get to track 5 *Sacrifice*, they are sounding pretty good. Tracks 5, 9, 10, 11, and 12 are the best. Most of their songs have an explicitly racial-nationalist subject.

When listening to this it's important to remember that it's a debut CD produced by a pair of eleven-year-olds. With that in mind the CD, as a whole, is quite impressive. They don't have the very polished, professional sound of the much more experienced, adult bands such as Saga or Annett, but in a few years they'll probably rival anyone.

Prussian Blue definitely has their strong points, though. They managed to give some of their songs certain intangible qualities. It is very difficult to produce music capable of catching people's attention immediately and really moving them; it is also hard to describe why those particular songs captivate our attention. *I Will Bleed For You* and *Lamb Near The Lane* have the ability to immediately move people. When I first heard *I Will Bleed For You* I was instantly impressed. It's one of those songs that stay in your head and you end up listening to a million times. *Lamb Near The Lane* is also like this.

Fragment of the Future has its flaws but I would highly, recommend buying it because of its intangible strengths. For example, they do one of the best renditions of *Our Vinland* I have ever heard. They don't outperform other bands, but the emotional strength of their work is difficult to compare. Several of the songs really are must haves; the girls have a lot of heart and sincerity.

It should also be noted that the news of Prussian Blue's CD and website has infuriated the tolerant ones. When the Prussian Blue website (www.prussianblue.net) went up the champions of tolerance were foaming at the mouth with vulgar profanity. That in itself is reason enough to buy *Fragment of the Future*.

David Daugherty, Beverly Hills, Florida
www.counterculturemusic.net

